

Direct Measurement of High Temperature/High Pressure Solubility of Methane and Carbon Dioxide in Polyamide (PA-11) using a High-Pressure Microbalance

N. von Solms¹, A. Rubin², S. I. Andersen¹ and E. H. Stenby^{1, 3}

¹ Centre for Phase Equilibria and Separation Processes (IVC-SEP), Department of Chemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark

² NKT Research A/S, Priorparken 878, DK-2605 Brøndby, Denmark

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: ehs@kt.dtu.dk

abstract

Experiments to determine the solubility of methane and carbon dioxide in PA-11 have been performed in the temperature range 50 – 90 °C and the pressure range 50 – 150 bar for methane and 20 – 40 bar for carbon dioxide. In general the results agree fairly well with previous experiments for similar polymers, as well as showing the correct trends in terms of temperature and pressure. The solubility of the gases follows Henry's law-type behaviour except for methane at very high pressures.

1. INTRODUCTION

In part two of a program to measure gas solubility in three polymers used in flexible pipes used as flowlines and risers in the offshore oil and gas industry, we have completed measurements to determine the solubility of methane and carbon dioxide in polyamide (PA-11) in the temperature range 50 – 90 °C. Measurements are complete for the same gases in polyethylene in the temperature range 25 – 50 °C [1]. The temperature range at which the measurements take place is determined by the typical operating temperature of the polymer pipe. Work is currently in progress to measure gas solubility in poly(vinylidene fluoride) in the temperature range 80 – 120 °C. The pressure range at which experiments were performed is 50 – 150 bar for methane and 20 – 40 bar for carbon dioxide.

2. MATERIALS

The PA-11 used in the study was supplied by NKT Flexibles. Samples were cut from extruded pipe intended for offshore use. The polymer contains 12 % plasticizer. The reported density of the polymer was 1.05 g/cm³. The samples used in this study had a measured density of 1.06 g/cm³. The PA-11 was cut into small discs about 1 cm in diameter and 1 mm thick. Five discs were used in the experiments to give a polymer weight at the beginning of the study of 0.3168 g. A second, shorter, study was performed at the end of a complete cycle of experiments with a starting polymer mass of 0.2950 g. The weight of polymer was compensated for by placing small quartz spheres on the opposite arm of the balance as described previously [1]. Methane and carbon dioxide gas samples were supplied in industrial gas bottles by Hede Nielsen, Denmark. The purity of the gas samples was better than 99.99 %.

3. EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental apparatus and procedure has been described previously [1]. The heart of the process is a high-pressure balance type S3D-P provided by Sartorius AG of Germany. The principle of the balance is straightforward: Gas is introduced to into a high-pressure chamber where the polymer sample is being weighed. The mass of the sample increases as gas is dissolved into the polymer. When the mass of the sample and gas no longer increases (24 hours is usually found to be more than enough time), the equilibrium solubility has been attained. The solubility of the gas is then simply:

$$S = \frac{m_{sample}^{new} - m_{sample}^{old}}{m_{sample}^{old}} \quad (1)$$

where the solubility (S) is in g(gas)/g(polymer) and the masses (m) are in g or mg. Solubilities can also be determined from the reverse experiment, i.e. measuring the mass reduction when a sample at equilibrium with a gas at pressure is evacuated. In this case, the mass loss determines the solubility of the gas in the polymer. Figure 1 illustrates the calculation of solubility for the pressurization cycle of methane at 100 bar and 50 °C. The mass gain is $(30.8 - 29.38) = 1.42$ mg. The polymer mass at this point was 314.9 mg. So the solubility is 0.00451 g(gas)/g(polymer). Solubility is often reported as a solubility coefficient:

$$S_v = S \frac{\rho_{polymer}}{\rho_{gas(STP)} P} \quad (2)$$

where S_v indicates a volumetric solubility coefficient in units of $cm^3(STP)/cm^3.bar$, the densities ρ are in g/cm^3 and the pressure P is in bar. The densities of methane and carbon dioxide at STP are 0.7175 kg/m^3 and 1.9777 kg/m^3 respectively. For the case of figure 1 we have $S_v = 0.062\text{ cm}^3(STP)/cm^3.bar$.

Table 1 shows the sequence of the experiments performed. Measurements were made at 3 temperatures for each gas/polymer pair, for a total of 6 experiments. Experiment 6 was then repeated with a fresh polymer sample. An experiment consists of pressurizing to 3 different pressures with evacuation occurring between pressurization runs. Thus, for example, experiment 1, consists of pressurizing the high-pressure chamber with methane at 50 bar, followed by evacuation to about 0.3 bar, followed by pressurization to 100 bar and so on. In this way, 6 solubility measurements can be obtained at each temperature for each gas (i.e. for one experiment in table 1). At the end of a complete cycle of experiments (after experiment 6) the polymer sample was removed and weighed. It was found that the polymer mass had decreased from 0.3168 g to 0.2834 g, a decrease of 10.5 %. Since the polymer is known to contain 12 % plasticizer, it is likely that this mass loss is due to the plasticizer being removed from the polymer during the course of the experiments. Furthermore, the polymer color had changed from a whitish grey to a pale yellow. The absolute mass of the polymer is not measured during the course of a run, rather, solubility is calculated from the change in mass of the sample, and a knowledge of the initial mass of the polymer at the beginning of the experimental cycle. However, the net (gas-free) mass of the polymer can be inferred during an experiment. Figure 2 shows the polymer mass vs. $t^{1/2}$ for the 100 bar pressurization and evacuation cycle of experiment 1 (methane at 50 °C). The differential mass (polymer sample minus quartz beads) in vacuum is 44.6 mg before pressurization to

100 bar begins. At the end of the evacuation cycle (before the next pressurization cycle to 150 bar) the mass is 42.9 mg. This loss of mass is assumed to be plasticizer that is removed during the evacuation cycle. An inspection of both the pressurization and evacuation cycles reveals that the mass is constant during pressurization, while dropping continuously during evacuation. Summing all these incremental mass losses throughout the first 6 experiments, it was calculated that the polymer mass should be reduced to 0.2858 g. This is in good agreement with the actual measured value of 0.2834 g. For most of the early runs, only the pressurization measurements were used in the determination of solubility. When evacuation measurements were used, the polymer mass was assumed to be the average of the mass at the start of pressurization and the mass at the end of the evacuation cycle. Thus in the example of figure 2, the start mass was 314.9 mg and this is the value used in the calculation of solubility for the pressurization cycle. However 0.7 mg of mass is lost during evacuation, so the polymer mass during evacuation is assumed to be the average of 314.9 and 314.2 mg (314.55 mg).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 3 and 4 show the results for methane and carbon dioxide solubility respectively in PA-11. The general trends are as expected, i.e. the solubility is linear in pressure at each temperature for both methane and carbon dioxide. However, the 150 bar results for methane at 50 °C and 70 °C show aberrant behavior. This may be partially explained as a deviation from Henry's law behavior – a phenomenon observed previously in high-density polyethylene – at least for the result at 70 °C. The low value for the run at 50 °C and 150 bar might be explained by the fact that this was the first experiment in the series. Only one (pressurization) run was used to determine

this point. It is possible that at this stage, when the polymer contained a large amount of plasticizer, the plasticizer was dissolving in the high-pressure methane, even as the methane was dissolving in the polymer, yielding a spuriously low value for the solubility.

Figures 5 and 6 show how solubility varies with temperature at constant pressure. The figures also show the results of Flaconèche et al. [2] for the same systems. In figure 5, our study shows a higher solubility for methane in PA-11 than Flaconèche et al. [2]. Neither work predicts a marked effect of temperature on solubility. The results for carbon dioxide (figure 6) are in better agreement, and both sets of data predict a drop in solubility with increasing temperature, as predicted by theory [3].

Flaconèche et al. [2] also studied the effect of plasticizer content on the solubility of various gases in PA-11. They found that the solubility was unchanged over a range of plasticizer content from 0 – 30 %. The plasticizer used in that work was *n*-butyl-benzene sulfonamide. However they did find that the permeability and diffusion were both strongly affected by plasticizer content, the permeability increasing by a factor of about 5 as the plasticizer content was increased from 0 to 30 % for both methane and carbon dioxide. This effect has been observed in this laboratory [4] in a 2-D permeation cell, where the permeability of PA-11 was drastically reduced following suspected removal of plasticizer, possibly from exposure to carbon dioxide at high pressures.

5. CONCLUSION

Experiments to determine the solubility of methane and carbon dioxide in PA-11 have been performed in the temperature range 50 – 90 °C and the pressure range 50 – 150

bar for methane and 20 – 40 bar for carbon dioxide. In general the results agree fairly well with previous experiments, as well as showing the correct trends in terms of temperature and pressure. The effect of plasticizer and how it affects the results (since the mass and the density of the polymer change as plasticizer is removed) should be addressed. In addition, the effect of plasticizer removal from flexible pipes in place should be looked at, both in terms of reduced permeability, which is desirable, but also in terms of the mechanical integrity of the pipes, which become more brittle, and may also shrink, compromising polymer/metal joints and fittings.

REFERENCES

1. von Solms, N.; Nielsen, J.K.; Hassager, O; Rubin, A.; Dandekar, A.Y.; Andersen, S.I.; Stenby, E.H. submitted to J. Appl. Polym. Sci. (2002)
2. Flaconnèche, B.; Martin, J.; Klopffer, M.H. Oil & Gas Sci Tech – Rev. IFP 2001, 56, 261.
3. Neergaard, J.; Hassager, O.; Szabo, P. J. Polym. Sci: Part B: Polym Phys. 2003, 41, 701-706.
4. Nielsen, J.K. Permeation and solubility experiments performed at DTU – Internal report in the EFP-project "Improved design basis for offshore flexible pipes" December 2001.

Table 1

Experimental program sequence. Experiment 7 was made with fresh polymer.

Expt no.	Gas	Temperature (°C)	Pressure (bar)			
1	CH ₄	50	50	100	150	-
2	CO ₂	50	20	30	20	40
3	CO ₂	70	20	30	40	-
4	CH ₄	70	50	100	150	-
5	CH ₄	90	50	100	150	-
6	CO ₂	90	20	30	40	-
7	CO ₂	90	20	30	30	-

Table 2

Solubilities of methane in PA-11. Pressure is pressure applied during a pressurization run .

<i>T</i> = 50 °C		<i>T</i> = 70 °C		<i>T</i> = 90 °C	
Pressure (bar)	Solubility (g gas/g polymer) × 10 ³	Pressure (bar)	Solubility (g gas/g polymer) × 10 ³	Pressure (bar)	Solubility (g gas/g polymer) × 10 ³
51.2	3.18	55.3	2.61	53.1	2.38
107.2	4.51	104.0	4.97	106.5	5.14
156.6	3.45	158.1	5.33	144.4	6.52

Table 3

Solubilities of carbon dioxide in PA-11. Pressure is either pressure applied during a pressurization run or equilibrium pressure immediately preceding an evacuation run.

<i>T</i> = 50 °C		<i>T</i> = 70 °C		<i>T</i> = 90 °C	
Pressure (bar)	Solubility (g gas/g polymer) × 10 ³	Pressure (bar)	Solubility (g gas/g polymer) × 10 ³	Pressure (bar)	Solubility (g gas/g polymer) × 10 ³
22.1	12.8	20.3	11.8	20	6.93
21	13.4			21.8	7.82
21	11.8				
31.1	18.9	26.4	14.3	30.4	12.0
31.1	17.8			27.9	9.94
				28.2	14.8
39.8	23.1	37.5	18.7	42.4	14.0
39.8	22.1				

Figure Captions

Figure 1

Pressurization cycle for methane at 100 bar and 50 °C. The mass gain divided by the net polymer mass gives the solubility of the gas.

Figure 2

Sequence of pressurization and evacuation runs for methane at 50 °C and 100 bar. Differential mass (polymer sample – quartz beads) in vacuum is 44.6 mg before pressurization to 100 bar begins. Mass in vacuum at the end of the evacuation cycle (before the next pressurization cycle to 150 bar) is 42.9 mg.

Figure 3

Solubility of methane in PA-11 as a function of pressure at 50 °C (diamonds), 70 °C (squares) and 90 °C (triangles).

Figure 4

Solubility of carbon dioxide in PA-11 as a function of pressure at 50 °C (diamonds), 70 °C (squares) and 90 °C (triangles).

Figure 5

Solubility of methane in PA-11 as function of temperature at a constant pressure of 100 bar. Solid diamonds are the results of this work. Open squares are the data of Flaconèche et al. [2]. The lines are a guide to the eye.

Figure 6

Solubility of carbon dioxide in PA-11 as function of temperature at a constant pressure of 40 bar. Solid diamonds are the results of this work. Open squares are the data of Flaconèche et al. [2]. The lines are a guide to the eye.




figure 1




figure 2




figure 3




figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6